PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-003/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE And the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

/O \ '	(2)
JUN 2	2004
EAST, TO LO	

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	10/087,662		
Filing Date	1 March 2002	D	<u> </u>
First Named Inventor	Klaus HARTIG	HEC	EIVED
Group Art Unit	1775	JUL:	9 2004
Examiner Name	Blackwell-Rudasill		
Attorney Docket No.	44046.203.235	IC	700

June 2004

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
☐ Fee Transmittal Form ☐ Fee Attached ☐ Amendment/Reply ☐ After Final ☐ Affidavits/declaration(s) ☐ Extension of Time Request -3 month ☐ Express Abandonment Request ☐ Information Disclosure Statement ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) ☐ Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application ☐ Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Assignment Papers (for an application) Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks:	 □ After Allowance Communication to Group □ Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences □ Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) □ Proprietary Information □ Status Letter ⋈ Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below) Statement of Substance of Interview 			
SIGNA	SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm 200 South Si or Minneapolis Individual Name Customer No Telephone: Facsimile: (Eric J. Snustad (Reg. No. 45,120) FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. 200 South Sixth Street, Suite 4000 Minneapolis, MN 55402-1425 Customer No. 022859 Telephone: (612) 492-7000 (phone) Facsimile: (612) 349-7077 (fax)				
	ne 2004				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this date:					

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NO SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SHUSTAD

Date

Typed or printed name

Signature



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:		Examiner: Blackwell-Rudasill, Gwendolyn A.
	Hartig et al.) Group Art Unit: 1775
Serial No.:	10/087,662) Attorney Docket: 44046.203.235) RECÉÍVE
Filed:	March 1, 2002	JUN 2 9 2004
Assignee:	Cardinal CG Company	TC 1700
For: THIN	I FILM COATING HAVING) I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:
TRA	NSPARENT BASE LAYER)
To:	Mail Stop Amendment	addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
	Commissioner for Patents	Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
	P.O. Box 1450	facsimile transmitted to the Patent and
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Trademark Office hand delivered to the Patent and Trademark Office	
		on this 17th day of June, 2004
		By La J. Sonta

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Applicant's representatives would like to thank Examiner Blackwell-Rudasill for extending them the courtesy of a personal interview on May 18, 2004 to discuss this case. The following recordation of the substance of the interview is believed to be complete and proper, in accordance with MPEP 713.04. It is requested that the Examiner notify the undersigned if the Examiner believes this Statement contains any inaccuracies or if the Examiner believes this Statement is otherwise not complete and proper.

Interview participants: (1) Examiner Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill; (2) Applicant's attorney, John Dolan; and (3) Applicant's attorney, Eric Snustad.

No exhibit or demonstration was shown during the interview.

Claims 1-47 were discussed during the interview.

The following references were discussed: (1) U.S. 5,837,361 (Glaser et al.); and (2) U.S. 5,935,702 (Macquart et al.).

Applicant's counsel suggested the possibility of amending those claims that do not currently recite the feature of a silicon dioxide base layer with a thickness of less than 100 angstroms so as to recite this feature. Applicant's counsel expressly noted the intent to preserve the right to pursue other claims in continuing prosecution in which there would be claimed combinations of features not requiring the base layer to comprise silicon dioxide or to have a thickness of less than 100 angstroms.

The general thrust of the principal arguments made was that the Glaser et al. reference does not teach a silicon dioxide base layer, and that the Macquart et al. reference does not teach a silicon dioxide layer having a thickness of less than 100 angstroms.

No other pertinent matters were discussed during the interview.

Dated: 17 June 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Eric J. Snustad

Registration No. 45,120

Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.

200 Second Avenue South, Suite 4000

Minneapolis, MN 55402

(612) 492-7151

#2981280\1